Attendees; Ian D., Frances Swan, Tom Haukass, Dan Roberts, Anna Tobiasz, Randy Waterous, Tara Reimer, Adam Rodgers, Kathleen Ennis, Ian W.

Budget review

- Currently just under \$8000 under budget
- Finance subcommittee to meet on January 13 to review 2021 expenditures and forecasted needs for 2022. Will report out to group at the Jan.27 meeting.

Review of Action items

- Future condition tool. See info below
- Boundary UWR- See info below
- CFLB parks- Ian completed QA tables. No issues brought forward by any members
- Deferred areas methodology document. Ian W. contacted Deb Mackillop and no information was available to be distributed

Moose UWR

- Ian D. completed cost estimate and suggested output table. They were emailed to group by Ian W. this morning
- Ian D. cost estimate sheet is approximately \$2500 but will likely be less than \$2000.
- Randy provided an old email from 2007 about how to manage for overlap with mule deer winter range
- Vote conducted on whether to move ahead with Ian D. creating tables for moose UWR. 8 votes in favour and 0 votes against.
  Require 50% + 1 for quorum as per terms of reference. This was achieved
- Meeting of Boundary licensees to take place on Dec.13

Future condition tool

- Ian D. provided a cost estimate to set it up of approx. \$1200. There would be ongoing costs related to inputting information provided by licensees that would need to be budgeted for.
- Discussed updating once annually at the same time of OGMA updates was the most appropriate timing.
- Voted to move forward with the project: 8 votes in favour and 0 votes against
- Need to confirm more details on data, timing, etc.

Connectivity corridor management

- Dan provided an interpretation on how it should be managed for. There was some discussion but no conclusion.
- Action: all members to review Dan and Ian's interpretation documents. Try to conclude this at January 27th meeting

Burn Severity

- An Interfor staff member requested that the tables be updated to show the impact of burn severity mapping on the biodiversity and UWR tables
- Discussion on why age is not updated in VRI and professional reliance
- High burn severity to be aged at 0. Not clear what to do with moderate burn areas.
- Voted to have Ian D. create separate tables showing current state of old and mature taking into account burn severity. 6 votes in favour, 0 votes against, 2 members abstained. Vote does not pass as quorum not achieved.
- Action: Ian to send out email asking those members not in attendance and those that abstained to vote